



Wed, 26 Jun-19; Economic Times - Delhi; Size: 270 sq.cm.; Circulation:134320; Page: 8

Ind-AS May Pose Challenge for New IL&FS Management

IL&FS' audit panel closely monitoring preparation of financial statements of 3 group companies

Vinod Mahanta & Sachin Dave

Mumbai: Amid regulatory scrutiny and resignation of one of its statu-tory auditors, the audit committee of the Uday Kotak-led board at Infrast-ructure Leasing & Financial Services (IL&FS) is closely monitoring the preparation of the financial state-ments of three group companies.

The three companies — IL&FS, IL&FS Financial Services (IFIN) and IL&FS Transportation Network (ITNL) — will be taking the last audited results as the base to

prepare these statements. Getting the accounts ready according to the Indian Accounting Standards (Ind-AS) principles will entail making multiple changes in the way financial statements are reported compared for fiscal 2018.

The group would be adopting the Ind-AS standards for the first time. Ind-AS standards for the first time.
A company spokesperson said this would require preparation of an opening balance sheet as on April 1, 2017, a comparative statement of March 31, 2018, a statement of changes in equity and profit and loss statement and balance sheet for March 31, 2019.

Sharad Goel, the spokesperson, said: "The company is making all efforts to complete and adopt its accounts (under IndAS) within the stipulated statutory timeline of September 30, 2019, as per the Com-

panies Act, 2013."
One of the technical issues before the audit committee and the finance department of the companies was around the opening balance for the current financial statements, given that investigative agencies have raised questions over the authenticity of certain figures in the previous audited financial statements

"The opening balances for April 1, 2018 will be taken as per past audited accounts. The opening balances will be subject to the outcome of reopening and recasting of accounts which is presently under process," said Goel.

The National Company Law Tri-bunal (NCLT) had ordered reopening and recasting of the accounts for IL&FS, IFIN and ITNL from fiscal 2013 to fiscal 2018, a move that was subsequently challenged in the Supreme Court by Hari Sanka-ran, a former director at IL&FS. On June 5, the top court allowed the reopening and recasting of the past five years' accounts

This exercise is expected to begin soon under the supervision of the new audit committee.

The Serious Fraud Investigation Office, the investigation arm of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, had alleged that there was evergreening of loans. It has also raised question mark over the authenticity of some figures stated in earlier financial statements.



Calculating the opening balance for FY2018 is the main challenge that the management is facing as they try and close books for the fi-nancial year 2018-2019, said people in the know.

Under the Companies Act, there are two situations when a company can reopen accounts: one, when the board believes there is negli-

the opening balance for FY18 is the that the management is facing as they try and close books for the

2018-2019

gence in preparing accounts or a fraud has committed; and Calculating two, when the gothe opening vernment believes the statement of accounts is incorrect. In both cases, the NCLT has to be

approached.
Experts said it would be difficult to reopen the acco-unts, because a lot of documents and people involved in preparing

would be unavailable. In IL&FS, most of the key decisionmakers and senior management have quit, or are in jail. So, piecing together the accounts will be a night-mare for the firm tasked with preparing the new sets of accounts, as site reports, working notes, collaterals and valuations would require deep consultations with old employees and a deep dive into old records. Alsocomplicating the matter would be the fact that the auditors who had signed on the reporters earlier wo-uld defend their positions against the new auditors

A complete restatement of accounts has never been done in India. In the Satyam Computer case, the restatement was done by Deloitte and KPMG using the prior-period statement method.